State Water Resources Control Board



Division of Financial Assistance

1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-5700 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 944212 • Sacramento, California • 94244-2120 Fax (916) 341-5707 • http://www.waterboards.ca.gov



SECOND NOTICE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (CWSRF) INTENDED USE PLAN (IUP) FOR STATE FISCAL YEAR (SFY) 2008/2009 AND AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (ARRA)

Interested parties are being given a second opportunity to comment on the proposed amendment of the SFY 2008/2009 IUP. This second public comment period is offered to ensure that the public review process meets guidance from US EPA. The final CWSRF IUP must meet US EPA requirements to ensure that the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) receives an unconditional grant of ARRA funds for the CWSRF Program. In particular the second draft of the amended IUP more clearly describes the process for distributing ARRA funds and identifies those projects expected to receive ARRA funds.

The Executive Director will approve the revised SFY 2008/2009 IUP to reflect projects the CWSRF expects to fund with ARRA funds and base program funds available during the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010 after considering all public comments. Please note that all comments submitted during the first comment period from March 12 to March 20, 2009, will also be addressed in the final revised SFY 2008/2009 IUP submitted to US EPA as part of the ARRA grant application.

The second comment period ends at noon on Tuesday, April 14, 2009. Comments may be submitted to:

CWSRF, Attn: Amendment to the CWSRF IUP Comment Letter, Second Comment Period
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 "I" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

FAX: (916) 341-5707 Email: CleanWaterSRF@waterboards.ca.gov

Comments should be submitted as early as possible to allow for thorough staff analysis. Please indicate in the subject line of your email or fax: **Amendment to the CWSRF IUP Comment Letter, Second Comment Period.**

The CWSRF Program finances construction of publicly owned treatment works, nonpoint source projects and programs, and estuary conservation and management plans. The CWSRF IUP is required by federal statutes and regulations, and will be a part of the ARRA grant application. The CWSRF amended IUP outlines the State Water Board's business plan for the Program for the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010. In particular, the amended IUP identifies the projects the State Water Board expects to fund during the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010 with ARRA and base program funds.

Date / 1 2009

Barbara Evoy, Deputy Director Division of Financial Assistance

California Environmental Protection Agency

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

AMENDED INTENDED USE PLAN FOR STATE FISCAL YEAR 2008/2009 AND AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009

(July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009)





PREPARED BY: The Division of Financial Assistance

APPROVED BY: State Water Resources Control Board, Executive Director, April XX, 2009



A sustainable California made possible by clean water and water availability for both human uses and environmental resource protection

OUR MISSION

To preserve, enhance, and restore the quality of California's water resources, and ensure their proper allocation and efficient use for the benefit of present and future generations

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION

II. MARKET OUTLINE

- A. Clean Watersheds Needs Survey
- B. State Water Quality Guidance
- C. Regional Water Quality Needs

III. OUTCOMES, GOALS, ACTIVITIES, AND MEASUREMENTS

- A. Outcome #1: Sound Finances
- B. Outcome #2: Fund the Most Beneficial Projects
- C. Outcome #3: Well-Known and Respected Products

IV. CONDITION OF THE PROGRAM

- A. Distribution of Funds
- B. Financial Outlook
- C. Resources
- D. Risks

V. PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

List of Tables and Attachments:

Table 1: Summary of Sources and Uses of New Capital in SFY 2008/2009

Table 2: CWSRF Five-Year Cash Flow

Table 3: Amended 2008/2009 Intended Use Plan Fundable Project List

Attachment 4: Summary of Issues Discussed with Regional Water Boards in

May 2008

I. INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most essential natural resources in California. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) and its nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Water Boards) (collectively Water Boards) protect and improve water quality in California through several regulatory and financial assistance programs. The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) established the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Program to finance protection and improvement of water quality. The federal Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) grants funds to the State Water Board to capitalize the CWSRF Program in California. The CWSRF Program is also funded by state bond funds, local match funds, repayments, and revenue bonds.

The CWSRF Program has protected and promoted the health, safety, and welfare of Californians since 1989. Many of the projects funded by the CWSRF Program address wastewater discharge violations or enforcement orders from the Regional Water Boards. Every project is directly related to improving public health and/or water quality.

Authority, History, and Past Achievements

In 1987 the U.S. Congress amended the CWA. Title VI of the CWA replaced the long-standing, federal Construction Grants program (Title II) with the more flexible non-grant CWSRF Program. The CWSRF Program can fund a wide variety of projects including all types of non-point source, estuary management, and traditional Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). All 50 states and Puerto Rico are currently operating successful CWSRF Programs. The total funds available to the Program nationwide since its inception exceed \$65 billion.

States may offer a variety of financial options to assist recipients with their water quality efforts. These include loans, refinancing debt, purchasing or guaranteeing local debt, and purchasing bond insurance. States may not offer grants, negative interest rates, or principal forgiveness, as a general rule, from the CWSRF. States may set specific terms, including interest rates from zero percent to below market rate. Loan repayment periods are up to 20 years, and states can also extend repayment periods more than 20 years by buying or refinancing local debt. States have the flexibility to target resources to their particular environmental needs and customize terms to meet the needs of small and disadvantaged communities.

The State of California established its CWSRF Program under <u>Water Code</u> <u>Sections 13475-13485</u>. California operates its Program through an "Operating Agreement" between the State Water Board and US EPA Region 9.

The CWSRF Program operates much like an environmental infrastructure bank capitalized with federal and state contributions. The CWSRF provides financial assistance to communities with the capital contributions, and then uses the revenue generated by those contributions, payments and investment earnings, to

fund additional water quality projects. The revolving nature of this Program provides a long lasting source of funds for water quality protection and improvement.

California's CWSRF has grown since its inception. It has executed more than \$4.2 billion in financial agreements. The Net Assets of the Program exceed \$2.7 billion, and annual payments and investment earnings are approximately \$210 million. Additional capital from US EPA of about \$48 million, plus approximately \$280 million from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), will be received this year.

The Program has funded a broad range of projects. About 70 percent of funds constructed or improved wastewater treatment and water recycling facilities, and about 24 percent of funds constructed wastewater collection systems. The remaining six percent of funds were used for non-point source (NPS) or estuary projects.

Amended Intended Use Plan (IUP)

This amended IUP is required by the CWSRF federal statutes and regulations, and is a condition of the ARRA grant award. This amended IUP will be included in the State of California's application for a \$280,285,800 capitalization grant for the CWSRF program from ARRA. It outlines the State Water Board's business plan for the Program during the remainder of State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2008/2009 and the early part of SFY 2009/2010. Included in this amended IUP is a discussion of the financial outlook for the next several fiscal years (Tables 1 and 2) including the anticipated funds from ARRA. In particular it identifies the projects (Table 3) the State Water Board expects to fund in the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010 with ARRA and base program funds. Table 3 includes an estimate of the pre-award costs the Water Board intends to fund with the ARRA grant.

Starting this fiscal year, the IUP includes performance measures to track the effectiveness of the CWSRF Program.

The State Water Board will continue implementing the CWSRF Program as described in the State Water Board's *Policy for Implementing the State Revolving Fund for Construction of Wastewater Treatment Facilities* (CWSRF Policy) and the *Strategy for Implementing the SRF for Expanded Use Projects* (Strategy).

Connections to Other Plans, Goals, and Programs of the State Water Board and California EPA

The CWSRF Program supports the Water Boards' strategic plan through the following environmental priorities and their corresponding goals, objectives, and actions as presented in the Strategic Plan Update for 2008-2012 dated September 2, 2008.

CALIFORNIA CWSRF AMENDED INTENDED USE PLAN SFY 2008/2009 + ARRA

Priority #1: Protect and Restore Surface Water Quality
Priority #2: Protect and Restore Groundwater Quality
Priority #3: Promote Sustainable Water Supplies

Priority #4: Water Quality Planning

It also supports the following California EPA Strategic Vision goals:

Goal #2: Rivers, lakes, estuaries, and marine waters that are fishable, swimmable, support healthy ecosystems and other beneficial uses.

Goal #3: Groundwater that is safe for drinking and other beneficial uses.

Communities that are free from unacceptable human health and ecological risks due to exposure from hazardous substances and

ecological risks due to exposure from hazardous substances and other potential harmful agents.

Goal #5: Reduce or eliminate the disproportionate impacts of pollution on low-income and minority populations.

Goal #6: Ensure the efficient use of natural resources.

Goal #7: Continuous improvement and application of science and technology.

The Division of Financial Assistance (DFA) administers additional state funded financial assistance programs (listed below). Due to California's current budget problems, funding for most of these programs has been halted. The Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Grant Program projects and a small group of projects exempted by the Pooled Money Investment Board (PMIB) continue to receive funding through DFA. All other projects were given a stop work order and DFA, along with other state agencies, is prohibited from disbursing funds to these projects or approving new projects at the current time.

- ✓ The Small Community Wastewater Grant (SCWG) Program provides grants to small, disadvantaged communities for wastewater infrastructure projects.
- ✓ The Water Recycling Funding Program provides grants for construction of water recycling facilities.
- ✓ The Clean Beaches Program provides grants to restore and protect coastal waters, estuaries, bays, and near shore waters.
- ✓ The Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Grant Program provides grants to protect communities from drought, protect and improve water quality, and improve local water security by reducing dependence on imported water.
- ✓ The Storm Water Grant Program will provide matching grants for reduction and prevention of storm water contamination of rivers, lakes, and streams.

II. MARKET OUTLINE

A. Clean Watersheds Needs Survey (CWNS)

California needs significant funding to achieve its clean water goals. The most recent CWNS in 2004 shows that California needs an estimated \$31 billion for wastewater treatment, water recycling, non-point source correction, and storm water pollution prevention over the next 20 years. This includes an estimated \$18.2 billion to update aging infrastructure.

B. State Water Quality Guidance

1. Small and/or Disadvantaged Communities

On July 2, 2008, the State Water Board adopted Resolution No. 2008-0048, promoting strategies to assist Small and/or Disadvantaged Communities with wastewater needs. Resolution No. 2008-0048 references the Small Community Wastewater Strategy, which provides an overview of the problems faced by small and/or disadvantaged communities and offers solutions to those problems.

Small communities often cannot meet water quality standards because of the challenges they face with their wastewater systems, such as, failing septic systems or old and undersized wastewater treatment plants. These communities generally have higher per capita costs. The result is higher, sometimes prohibitive, sewer rates. Disadvantaged (median household income [MHI] of less than 80 percent of the statewide MHI) and severely disadvantaged (MHI of less than 60 percent of the statewide MHI) small communities face the additional burden of lower household incomes.

The strategies outlined in Resolution No. 2008-0048 include a number of modifications, such as, extended term financing or reduced interest rates, to make the Program more affordable for small and/or disadvantaged communities.

Protection of the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta)

The State Water Board adopted on July 16, 2008, the <u>Strategic Workplan for Activities in the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary</u> (Workplan). The Workplan describes the actions the Water Boards will complete, in cooperation with other agencies, to protect beneficial uses of water in the Bay-Delta and the associated timelines and resources needed.

Workplan activities are divided into nine broad elements. The CWSRF Program can help implement the Workplan by funding point and nonpoint source projects, such as:

- ✓ Measures identified in Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs).
- ✓ Storm water and dry weather runoff reduction from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4).
- ✓ Conservation measures to reduce sediment and non-point discharges.
- ✓ Ammonia discharge reduction from publicly owned treatment works.
- ✓ Urban and agricultural water use efficiency to reduce demand on the Delta and reduce runoff of pesticides to the Delta.

3. Sustainability and Global Climate Change

The State Water Board adopted <u>Resolution No. 2008-0030</u> on May 6, 2008, emphasizing sustainability as a core value for all of the Water Boards' activities and programs. Resolution No. 2008-0030 directed the Water Boards' staff to take a number of actions that may affect the CWSRF Program, such as:

- ✓ Promote recycled water, conservation, and low impact development (LID).
- ✓ Assign a higher priority to climate-related and LID projects.
- ✓ Coordinate with other government agencies, non-profit organizations, and private businesses to enhance and encourage sustainable activities.

C. Regional Water Quality Needs

In May 2008, Program staff met with Regional Water Board staff to discuss water quality priorities and needs for each region. In general, these water quality needs focus on several major areas, such as, storm water, wastewater treatment, water quality monitoring, wetlands protection, ocean protection, environmental education, environmental justice, contaminated sites cleanup, LID, and enforcement. A summary of items discussed during the meetings with the Regional Water Boards is presented in Table 4.

III. OUTCOMES, GOALS, ACTIVITIES, AND MEASUREMENTS

This section summarizes the activities planned for the CWSRF for the coming year and explains how those activities contribute to the expected outcomes for the Program. Specific projects or activities include target completion dates. The performance measurements will be used to track progress toward meeting the goals and outcomes. A semi-annual update will be posted on the Web for Program stakeholders to view.

Outcome #1: Sound Finances

The State Water Board and the CWSRF Program's stakeholders expect the Program to be financially sound so that it can effectively create water quality equity.

Long Term Goals:

- Maximize cash flow management: Disbursements should match receipts to get the most benefit from the funds available to the Program. Ideally disbursements should match receipts for the Program, less the minimum \$25 million balance and restricted assets.
- 2) Effectively use revenue: California faces tremendous water quality needs. The net revenue of the CWSRF Program is sizeable. The CWSRF can take on additional debt to fund more projects at current costs. Additional debt should be balanced, though, with the long-term finances of the Program.
- 3) Maintain financial integrity: Financial integrity is a must. Effective internal controls ensure that the Program's finances are dependable and trustworthy. Prudent lending and financial practices and reasonable interest rates ensure the stability and continued growth of the CWSRF.

Key Short Term Activities:

- a) Prepare and review monthly cash management reports: Timing disbursements to receipts requires careful and regular oversight of the cash flow (Complete monthly).
- b) Continue quarterly finance/audit committee meetings (Complete quarterly):
 - i) Review cash flow of projects under contract, three and six month cash flow projections, and cash flow needs for upcoming projects.
 - ii) Compare actual performance with target performance measures.
 - iii) Review audit issues, Program control issues, and plan for upcoming audits.
- c) Complete training with Northbridge Environmental Management Consultant's, Inc.: US EPA will coordinate a contract with Northbridge using funds from the 2008 Capitalization Grant to provide additional financial management training to internal staff. (Complete June 2009)
- d) Contract with a professional financial advisor: Finance staff will periodically need specialized expertise on financial planning and management, such as, planning for future revenue bond sales, implementing alternative forms of

- financing, refining our cash flow forecasting, and developing a debt management policy. (Complete March 2009)
- e) Accept additional Capitalization Grants: The federal fiscal year 2008 capitalization grant application was submitted April 21, 2008. The grant is expected to be \$48,922,291. The State Water Board will provide the necessary state match through Prop. 84 and local match contributions (Completed August 2008).
- f) Apply for additional Capitalization Grants: The federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2009 Grant is estimated to be \$49 million. The Grant application will be submitted to US EPA. (US EPA's Estimated Grant Application Date = April 2009).
- g) Apply for federal ARRA grant: The ARRA grant is estimated to be \$281 million. The Grant application will be completed and submitted to US EPA. (Complete April 2009)
- h) Fund projects to meet ARRA requirements and goals: Preference will be given to activities that can be initiated not later than 120 days after ARRA enactment (June 17, 2009) with a goal of committing at least 50 percent of the funds by June 17, 2009. Projects will be selected to maximize job creation and economic benefit. All ARRA funds will be committed to projects with an executed construction contract by February 16, 2010. At least 50 percent of ARRA fund will be used for additional subsidization (principal forgiveness, negative interest rates, or grants), and at least 20 percent of ARRA funds will be committed to green infrastructure, water/energy efficiency, or environmental innovation (efficiency and innovation) projects. (Weekly reporting to US EPA and State Water Board Web site)
- Solicit efficiency and innovation projects and amend IUP, if necessary: US EPA guidance indicates that states must make a "timely and concerted solicitation for (efficiency and innovation) projects, with the objective of determining no later than 180 days after (ARRA's) enactment" which efficiency and innovation projects will be included in the IUP. (Complete August 2009)
- j) Implement sound credit reviews: The current credit review process is based on a review of the applicant's revenue program. This procedure provides insufficient information on the credit worthiness of the applicant. The Program will execute a contract with an outside vendor for credit reviews (Completed July 1, 2008), develop procedures to coordinate the reviews, train staff on the procedures, and implement the new procedures. (Completed September 2008).
- k) Close out previous Capitalization Grants: Closeout of previous Capitalization Grants that are fully disbursed will ensure that any service charges applied to financing from those Grants can be used to the greatest extent allowed by federal guidelines. (Complete June 2009)
- Prepare Annual Report and Audit for SFY 2007/2008: (Completed September 30, 2008)
- m) Obtain authority to offer principal forgiveness, negative interest rates, and grants from the CWSRF: SBX3 27 (Negrete-McLeod), authorizing principal forgiveness, negative interest rates, and grants by the CWSRF, was signed by the Governor and became effective on March 27, 2009. (Completed March 27, 2009)

Performance Measurements for 2008/2009:

- ✓ Executed financing agreements total > 120 percent of federal grants.
- ✓ Executed financing agreements total > 100 percent of available funds.
- ✓ Percentage of ARRA funds committed = 100 percent of available funds by February 16, 2010.
- ✓ Percentage of ARRA funds committed to additional subsidization > 50 percent of available funds.
- ✓ Percentage of ARRA funds committed to efficiency and innovation projects > 20 percent of available funds.
- ✓ Disbursement rate = 100 percent of available funds less \$25 million minimum balance and restricted funds.
- ✓ Federal funds disbursement rate = 100 percent of federal draws.
- ✓ Undisbursed cash balance not increasing year-to-year.
- ✓ Unobligated cash balance not increasing year-to-year.
- ✓ Administration costs within budget.
- ✓ Default ratio = 0.

Outcome #2: Fund the Most Beneficial Projects

The CWSRF has finite funds. This limitation requires the State Water Board to prioritize the uses of the CWSRF so that the most pressing market problems outlined earlier are addressed first.

Long Term Goals:

- 1) Achieve compliance statewide with water quality objectives.
- 2) Maximize the environmental benefits from CWSRF funded projects.
- 3) Bring POTWs into compliance with federal and state requirements.
- 4) Assist with the State Water Board's <u>Plan For California's Nonpoint Source</u> <u>Pollution Control Program</u> and estuary Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plans.

Key Short Term Activities:

- a. Provide funds for projects: Table 3 lists projects the CWSRF program hopes to fund in SFY 2008/2009 that support the Water Boards' priorities and ARRA objectives. (See Table 3 for expected binding commitment dates)
- b. Amend the SFY 2008/2009 IUP and 2008/2009 Project Priority List: These actions are necessary to apply for the federal ARRA grant. (Complete April 2009)
- c. Develop SFY 2009/2010 IUP and 2009/2010 Project Priority List: Business planning for the CWSRF is a regular process. Work closely with Division of Water Quality (DWQ), Regional Water Boards, and stakeholders to ensure that the highest priorities are identified. The IUP will guide marketing and assistance efforts targeting the Board's highest priorities in SFY 2009/2010. (Complete June 2009)

d. Complete quadrennial CWNS: The CWNS provides basic information about the market for CWSRF financing. (Complete March 2009)

Performance Measurements for 2008/2009:

- ✓ At least 50 percent of funded projects should be identified in the IUP.
- ✓ At least two projects should support an adopted TMDL.
- ✓ At least 20 percent of projects should address discharges to impaired water bodies.
- ✓ At least five projects should address Regional Water Board enforcement actions.
- ✓ At least three projects should support sustainability or climate change efforts.
- ✓ At least 10 percent of binding commitments should be for expanded use projects.
- ✓ At least four Project Manager training seminars per year on technical topics.

Outcome #3: Well-Known and Respected Products

Applicants have many choices for their financing needs. The CWSRF should be a desirable option so that it attracts high value projects that support the policies of the State Water Board.

Long Term Goals:

- 1) Provide good service with a special emphasis on disadvantaged communities.
- 2) Develop clear, flexible, and innovative application procedures.
- 3) Ensure staff are well-trained and ready to help communities resolve technical and financial Issues.

Key Short Term Activities:

- a. Obtain approval of extended term (ET) financing: This addresses one of the key issues facing small, disadvantaged communities. ET financing reduces debt service by about 25 percent. ET financing should result in more projects and have a direct water quality benefit. (Completed October 2008)
- b. Amend CWSRF Policy: The CWSRF Policy was amended eight times to address specific issues since it was originally adopted in August 1988. There has never been, however, a comprehensive review and update of the procedures since the Program started. There are a number of outdated and outmoded requirements in the Policy. (Completed September 2008)
- c. Amend CWSRF Policy: A second amendment will be necessary to implement the federal ARRA grant requirements. (Completed March 17, 2009)
- d. Adopt guidance for implementing ARRA: Additional guidance will be needed to ensure the best use of existing and new capital in the program. (Completed March 17, 2009)

- e. Application process improvements:
 - Complete internal application process review and recommendations for improvements. Present results to CWSRF management. (Completed July 2008)
 - ii. Revamp the CWSRF Web page to serve applicants' needs. Necessary documents or information should be at most three clicks away from the home page. (Complete June 2009)
 - iii. Develop an application and instruction booklet to match the new Regulations. (Complete June 2009)
- f. CWSRF Regulations: Adopt Regulations for the CWSRF Program to replace current Policies. (Complete Winter 2009)
- g. Applicant training: Develop application training and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Plus training for applicants. Additional training for applicants and their consultants should improve the quality of information submitted with applications and speed up the application process. (Complete June 2009)
- h. Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG): Continue periodic meetings with the SAG to advise the State Water Board on steps to help the Program achieve maximum efficiency. (As determined necessary by the State Water Board staff and SAG membership)
- i. Marketing and Outreach:
 - Increase coordination with the California Financing Coordinating Committee (CFCC) to provide assistance, participate in funding fairs, and develop outreach strategies. (Ongoing)
 - ii. Provide regular training to the Regional Water Boards on program financial status, project eligibility, and policy changes. (Ongoing)

Performance Measurements for 2008/2009:

- ✓ All financing agreements executed within 60 days of Master File completion.
- ✓ At least 25 percent of projects should assist disadvantaged communities.
- ✓ Participate in at least four CFCC Funding Fairs per year.
- ✓ Conduct at least two application training sessions per year.
- ✓ Conduct at least two CEQA/CEQA Plus training sessions per year.
- ✓ Conduct one workshop per year/per Regional Water Board.
- ✓ Provide 20 percent of funding as ET financing.
- ✓ Amend financing agreements no later than 60 days after construction starts.
- ✓ Achieve CEQA Plus compliance within 150 days for Tier 1 reviews or achieve CEQA compliance within 60 days for Tier 2 reviews.

IV. CONDITION OF THE CWSRF PROGRAM

A. <u>Distribution of ARRA and Base Program Funds</u>

The CWSRF Policy establishes a Project Priority List (PPL) based on public health and water quality factors. It also, however, states that projects are funded "on an as ready basis." The reason for these conflicting directions is that there is a general benefit to funding projects that are ready to proceed rather than holding cash for higher priority projects that are not ready to proceed. Funding a lower priority project is preferable to maintaining a high cash balance because the funds create water quality equity and because disbursing the funds to a project tends to generate a greater financial return than the CWSRF's current investments.

The State Water Board will attempt to fund all applicants that request funding during the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010. The State Water Board's priority during the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and beginning of SFY 2009/2010 will be committing ARRA funds consistent with US EPA guidance. The State Water Board will focus on two groups of projects initially to meet ARRA objectives: disadvantaged communities and projects that received state grant funding from the State Water Board that were given a "stop work" order in December 2008 due to California's budget problems. Within these categories of projects, that State Water Board will focus on funding projects that can start construction or reinitiate project activities by June 16, 2009, to maximize job creation and economic benefit.

On March 17, 2009, the State Water Board established guidelines for staff on how best to distribute ARRA funds so that California can receive the most water quality benefits from ARRA and still meet ARRA requirements. The primary guidelines for staff to follow are:

- 50 percent of ARRA funds wll be used as additional subsidy funds.
- ARRA funds will be reserved for State Water Board priorities, beyond those specified in ARRA, only for a limited period of time.
- ARRA funds will be distributed as fairly as possible. ARRA funds will be distributed in a manner that takes into account ongoing efforts to regionalize wastewater treatment or uses regional solutions.
- Small, disadvantaged communities (<20,000 population and <80 percent of the statewide Median Household Income (MHI)) will receive priority for subsidy funds over other communities if subsidy funds become limited.
- Projects that lost previously committed State Water Board grant funds will receive priority for subsidy funds.
- Projects with earlier construction start dates will receive priority for subsidy funds before projects with later construction start dates if subsidy funds become limited.

The State Water Board also established a timeline by which ARRA subsidy funds will be distributed. ARRA subsidy funds are reserved for disadvantaged

communities and State Water Board grant funded projects in the following amounts under the following conditions:

Subsidy Funds by Category of Applicant

| 0-1 | Onderstate Dec Decision | | O a malifi |
|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| Category | Subsidy Per Project | | Conditions |
| Community MHI | Existing Small Community | • | Projects may not receive more |
| < 80 percent of | Wastewater Grant (SCWG) | | than one hundred (100) percent |
| statewide MHI, | commitments for design and | | subsidy financing. |
| or a community | construction: | • | Projects must meet CWSRF and |
| that pays at | | | ARRA eligibility requirements. |
| least 4 percent | Shall receive priority for the | • | Complete application must be |
| of their MHI | amount previously committed | | received by the Division and the |
| toward | before subsidies are given to | | financing agreement must be |
| wastewater | other disadvantaged | | executed or executable before |
| infrastructure. | communities. (Approximately | | September 1, 2009. |
| | \$25 million in subsidy funds will | | Complete Approval of Award |
| (25% ARRA) | be reserved for this need.) | | (AOA) request must be received |
| (20707111171) | bo received for time riced.) | | by the Division before January 1, |
| | If Applicant's rates or | | 2010. |
| | implementation costs are equal | | Copy of executed construction |
| | to or greater than 1.5 percent of | | contract must be received by the |
| | the community's MHI: | | Division before February 1, |
| | the community's Wirii. | | 2010. |
| | 1) Projects loss than \$2 million | | |
| | 1) Projects less than \$3 million | - | Projects receiving a subsidy |
| | may receive 100 percent | | based on wastewater rates in |
| | subsidy. | | excess of 4 percent of their MHI |
| | 2) Projects greater than \$3 | | must receive approval on a |
| | million may receive subsidy of | | case-by-case basis from the |
| | \$3 million plus 50 percent of | | State Water Board. |
| | the amount over \$3 million not | | |
| | to exceed \$10 million in | | |
| | subsidy funds. | | |
| | | | |
| | If Applicant's rates or | | |
| | implementation costs are less | | |
| | than 1.5 percent of the | | |
| | community's MHI: | | |
| | | | |
| | Applicant may receive 100 | | |
| | percent subsidy of project cost | | |
| | not to exceed \$2 million in | | |
| | subsidy funds. | | |
| Restart – | Maximum: \$5 million or the | | Projects may not receive more |
| Projects that | amount needed to replace | | than one hundred (100) percent |
| lost previously | lost State Water Board grant | | subsidy financing. |
| committed | funds plus reasonable costs | | Projects must meet CWSRF and |
| | | | , sette |

| Category | Subsidy Per Project | Conditions |
|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| State Water | to restart the project. | ARRA eligibility requirements. |
| Board grant | | Projects must have an executed |
| funding (not | | State Water Board grant |
| including | | agreement that was suspended |
| SCWG | | and an executed construction |
| projects). | | contract or proof of project |
| | | initiation received by the Division |
| (25% ARRA) | | before May 17, 2009. |
| | | In addition to the primary |
| | | guidelines above, priority for |
| | | subsidy projects may be |
| | | determined by the Executive |
| | | Director consistent with |
| | | established State Water Board |
| | | guidance. |

After May 16, 2009, ARRA subsidy funds not committed to projects that lost previously committed State Water Board grant funding will become available to new expanded use (non-point source and estuary) projects. New expanded use projects will be able to compete for additional subsidy funds until August 31, 2009. After August 31, 2009, ARRA subsidy funds not committed to communities with MHIs less than 80 percent of the statewide MHI, projects that lost previously committed State Water Board grant funding, or new expanded use projects will become available to all eligible applicants. The Executive Director will determine, after public review, how much additional subsidy funds remain uncommitted after August 31, 2009, and distribute those funds as fairly as possible to all applicants that can submit an Approval of Award (AOA) request before January 1, 2010 and a copy of an executed construction contract before February 1, 2010. Any applicant with an executed debt obligation after October 1, 2008 is eligible for any remaining subsidy funds distributed in this manner.

The Executive Director, or designee, of the State Water Board also has discretion to modify the amount of subsidy funds a project may receive if it will ensure a reasonable implementation of ARRA, and may, in consultation with the State Water Board Chair and Vice Chair, allocate an additional 15 percent of ARRA funds to disadvantaged communities or restarted grant projects, such that no more than 65 percent of ARRA funds may be used as additional subsidy, provided funds are available for this purpose.

The State Water Board also established interest rates on March 17, 2009, for CWSRF agreements in the interest of promoting federal economic recovery efforts and ensuring commitment of the program's base cash flow. The State Water Board will offer reduced interest rates for efficiency and innovation projects as well as all other financing not eligible for other incentives or subsidies, according to the following schedule:

Interest Rate Incentives by Category of Applicant

| Category | Interest Rate | Conditions |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Efficiency and innovation projects | Zero (0) Percent | Up to a total of \$60 million in cumulative financing. Completed application must be received by the Division and the financing agreement must be executed or be executable before July 18, 2009. Completed AOA request must be received by the Division before January 1, 2010. Copy of an executed construction contract must be received by the Division before February 1, 2010. |
| All projects | One (1) Percent | Completed application must be received by the Division and the financing agreement must be executed or be executable before September 1, 2009. Completed AOA request must be received by the Division before January 1, 2010. Copy of an executed construction contract must be received by the Division before February 1, 2010. |

Table 3 lists the projects most likely to be approved for funding by the CWSRF for the remainder of SFY 2008/2009 and the beginning of SFY 2009/2010. Table 3 includes the estimated financing agreement dates, the estimated project cost, and the probable source(s) of funds for the projects. Table 3 identifies the projects most likely to receive ARRA, and in particular the additional subsidy funds available from ARRA. Table 3 also identifies the projects that will help California meet the goal of using at least 20 percent of ARRA funds for efficiency and innovation projects.

Being listed in Table 3 does not guarantee funding or the order of funding for projects. All projects in Table 3, as well as any project on the SFY 2008/2009 PPL, are eligible for funding. If a project identified in this IUP is not eligible for the CWSRF, does not meet ARRA requirements, or does not meet its target dates, it may be bypassed in favor of any other project in Table 3 or on the PPL that is ready for approval.

The State Water Board estimates based on Table 3 that approximately \$110 million in additional subsidization is needed for the disadvantaged communities category. Disadvantaged communities will compete for at least \$70,071,450 in additional subsidization from ARRA. In addition, Table 3 lists approximately \$70 million in state grant funded projects that are potentially eligible for the other \$70,071,450 in additional subsidization from ARRA. Another

\$42,042,870 in additional subsidy may be available to these two categories at the discretion of the Executive Director, in consultation with the Chair and Vice-Chair, if the ARRA funds are not otherwise committed as loan funds to other projects. The State Water Board estimates there are at least \$150 million in projects in Table 3 that can satisfy the criteria for efficiency and innovation projects. This is above the 20 percent (approximately \$56 million) for efficiency and innovation funding required by ARRA. The percentage of efficiency and innovation projects may increase, particularly for the restarted grant projects, on further review of individual projects. Therefore, the State Water Board does not plan at this time to conduct any further solicitation of efficiency and innovation projects for its IUP. These projects will compete for \$60 million in zero (0) percent loans through July 17, 2009.

Each project in Table 3 will be reviewed by State Water Board staff to determine that it meets CWSRF and ARRA eligibility rules. Each project receiving ARRA funds will be certified by the Executive Director, or designee, in accordance with ARRA Section 1511, that the project received the full review and vetting required by law and that the Executive Director, or designee, accepts responsibility that the infrastructure investment is an appropriate use of taxpayer dollars. The certification shall include a description of the investment, the estimated total cost, and the amount of ARRA funds used for the project. The certification shall be posted on the State Water Board's Website and linked to the Recovery.gov Website.

In order to meet the requirements and deadlines of ARRA for the expeditious and timely commitment and expenditure of funds, the State Water Board will regularly report to US EPA through the CWSRF Benefits Reporting (CBR) system its progress meeting ARRA and Board specified deadlines to identify delays. If delays are identified, the State Water Board will work with US EPA to resolve those delays. The State Water Board will include conditions in its assistance agreements to ensure that assistant recipients enter into construction contracts or initiate construction guickly. If a recipient fails to maintain progress toward meeting these conditions, the State Water Board will identify other projects capable of meeting ARRA and Board specified deadlines. Other CWSRF sources will be used for projects that cannot meet ARRA and Board specified deadlines or requirements so that ARRA funding can be provided for a project that will meet ARRA requirements. The State Water Board understands that US EPA will deobligate ARRA grant funds from States that fail to meet ARRA requirements and reallot those funds to other states that can use them.

However, if California is eligible for ARRA funds from other states that cannot use them for ARRA compliant projects, the State Water Board will provide US EPA with an amended IUP containing a list of projects that are prepared to proceed to construction within 120 days of February 17, 2010.

B. Financial Outlook

The CWSRF will fund projects with capitalization grants, repayments, investment earnings, matching funds, ARRA funds, and current cash in the CWSRF. The new capital added to the CWSRF during SFY 2008/2009 is summarized in Table 1, Projected Sources and Uses of New Capital. Approximately \$556 million in new capital will be added to the CWSRF during SFY 2008/2009. The bulk of this, about \$490 million, will be used to assist recipients with their projects.

The CWSRF projected cash flow for the next five years is presented in Table 2. All projects funded from the CWSRF are funded based on their projected disbursements (cash flow) and the projected future cash flow of the CWSRF Program.

Comparing the five-year cash flow in Table 2 with the project totals in Table 3 indicates that additional cash will be needed to fund all of the projects in Table 3. Approximately \$700 to \$900 million more in cash will be needed to fund all the projects in Table 3. This assumes that all the projects are eligible, all the applicants sign a financing agreement with the CWSRF, and all the actual disbursements happen as planned.

It is unlikely that all the projects in Table 3 will be funded or that all the disbursements will happen as planned. However, additional cash from a revenue bond sale is likely if most of the projects in Table 3 maintain progress toward a finance agreement. DFA management will monitor progress on these projects and their disbursement projections through its quarterly finance meetings. If additional cash is needed, DFA will take steps to limit cash disbursement or obtain additional cash through a revenue bond sale.

C. Resources

1. Organization, Program Resources, and Skills

The current administration budget for the CWSRF is \$5.6 million (\$5.3 million for personal services and \$300,000 for contract services). Approximately 30 Personnel Years (PY) are budgeted. These positions are distributed between Division of Financial Assistance (DFA), Division of Administrative Services (DAS), the nine Regional Water Boards, the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC), and Division of Information Technology (DIT) as follows:

- Three Environmental Scientist positions; compliance with the state and federal environmental and cultural resources requirements (DFA)
- Fourteen Water Resources Control Engineer (WRCE) positions (DFA Project Managers)
- 0.9 WRCE for Regional Board coordination (Regional Water Boards)
- Four administrative support positions (DFA)
- Two management positions to oversee staff and Program (DFA)

- Five administrative positions provide accounting, personnel, budget, and contract support (DAS)
- 0.8 position for legal support (OCC)
- 0.5 position for information technology support (DIT)

The CWSRF Program relies on some contracted services that cannot be provided economically by DFA staff or that require independence from the Program. About \$300,000 is budgeted for contract services such as an independent accounting firm for yearly audits, outside legal counsel for specialized tax advice, an outside contractor to conduct credit analyses, and a vendor to provide maintenance for the Loans and Grants Tracking System (LGTS).

The State Water Board requested an additional 15.0 positions (seven two-year limited-term and eight permanent) and \$11.2 million in authority for state operations to administer ARRA funding.

D. Risks

The following items may pose financial or institutional risks to the Program. DFA management will focus on identifying potential problems early and taking action to maintain the integrity and success of the Program.

1. Application Demand vs. Resources

Demand for financing may exceed the resources needed to review and approve all applications. Staff resources are the most inflexible aspect of the Program. Because additional staff must be approved through the state's budget process, additional staff cannot be added quickly to address a high demand. In addition, hiring may be frozen or work hours reduced due to state budget concerns. DFA management will prioritize applications consistent with this IUP, seek changes to the Policies, further adjust its review procedures, or seek additional resources if too many applications are received

Due to the ARRA grant, the CWSRF program requested additional staff and an increased administration budget. The CWSRF program estimates an additional 15.0 PY's will be needed to market, process, and approve additional applications due to the ARRA funds. Additional resources will be added to the service contracts supporting the program as necessary to ensure adequate coverage of these program areas.

2. Applicants' Schedule Changes

The most beneficial projects may not proceed with a financing agreement due to some legal or institutional delay they have. Program staff will coordinate regularly with applicants identified in this IUP to minimize delays. As project schedules shift, lower priority projects may be funded if they are ready to

proceed. This funding flexibility maximizes the use of CWSRF funds and increases the number of funded projects.

3. Cash Flow vs. Application Demand

The amount of financing requested may exceed the program's cash flow. Program staff will track receipts, disbursements, and disbursement forecasts on a regular basis to identify potential cash shortages beforehand. If additional cash is needed, the CWSRF Program has several options. The Program has considerable revenue that it can leverage to borrow additional cash. The State Water Board can prioritize funding requests or negotiate disbursement schedules with applicants. The CWSRF Program can also investigate offering alternative financing (providing bond insurance) if cash gets short.

Excess cash may accumulate if applications are too low. Excess cash does not provide any water quality equity for California. The State Water Board lowered interest rates at its March 17, 2009, meeting to encourage borrowing so that program cash does not accumulate in the CWSRF.

4. Loss of ARRA Funds

All ARRA funds must be committed no later than February 16, 2010, to projects with an executed construction contract. In addition, at least fifty (50) percent of ARRA funds must be used for additional subsidization (principal forgiveness, negative interest rates, or grants), and at least 20 percent of ARRA funds must be used for efficiency and innovation projects.

California may lose some ARRA funds if applications are too low for efficiency or innovation projects or if applicant's are unable to meet ARRA requirements. The State Water Board lowered interest rates at its March 17, 2009, meeting to encourage borrowing for efficiency and innovation projects. This should ensure that sufficient applications are submitted so that the program does not lose ARRA funds due to low demand. In addition, the State Water Board will commit ARRA funds before other available funds, and work closely with applicants to ensure that they are able to meet all requirements of ARRA.

5. Recipients May Default on Payments

DFA staff will continue to implement the requirements of the CWSRF Policy to ensure prudent lending practices that safeguard the Program's equity. The CWSRF Program contracted with California Municipal Securities, Inc. (CalMuni), a financial analysis firm, to strengthen the credit evaluation of each applicant prior to approving funding for a project. DFA staff will receive additional training on financial management from Northbridge and contract with a professional financial advisory firm to provide additional financial expertise to address borrower credit risk.

The CWRSF Program will provide Extended Term (ET) financing beginning in 2009 to reduce the debt service for small, disadvantaged communities. The State Water Board at its March 17, 2009, meeting lowered interest rates temporarily to encourage further borrowing from the program. Lower interest rates reduce borrowing costs. The additional subsidization from ARRA will also reduce payments, particularly on disadvantaged communities. All of these elements will reduce the risk of default by communities with lower credit ratings and fewer financial resources.



V. PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

The schedule for the public review, comment, and approval of the Amended SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA IUP is:

| Submit draft Amended 2008/2009 IUP and draft ARRA Grant application to US EPA | February 11, 2009 (completed) |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Begin first public comment period on draft Amended 2008/2009 IUP. (Post on Web and notify interested parties by email.) Written comments directed to Division of Financial Assistance | March 12, 2009 (completed) |
| State Water Board Approve ARRA Distribution Criteria | March 17, 2009 (completed) |
| End first public comment period | March 20, 2009 (completed) |
| Begin second public comment period | April 8, 2009 |
| End second public comment period | April 14, 2009 |
| Executive Director Approve Amended 2008/2009 IUP | April 16, 2009 |
| Submit Approved Amended 2008/2009 IUP to US EPA | April 17, 2009 |
| Receive ARRA Grant Award from US EPA. | April 27, 2009 |

The State Water Board or the Executive Director may revise this IUP, but only after the public and interested parties are given an opportunity to comment on the proposed changes.

Summary of Sources and Uses of New Capital in SFY 2008/2009 Table 1

| SOURCES | | AMOUNT |
|---|-----------------|-------------|
| Federal Year 2008 Capitalization Grant | \$ | 48,922,291 |
| American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Grant | \$ | 280,285,800 |
| Anticipated Payments on Existing Financing Agreements | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 201,056,084 |
| Estimated Investment Earnings | \$ | 10,574,552 |
| State Match | \$ | 13,414,123 |
| Annual Service Charges | | 2,089,791 |
| Subtotal Sources of New Capital | \$ | 556,342,641 |
| TOTAL OF ALL SOURCES | \$ | 556,342,641 |
| USES | | AMOUNT |
| Projected Administration Expenses (ARRA Grant) | \$ | 11,211,432 |
| Debt Service on 2002 Revenue Bonds | \$ | 31,893,104 |
| Minimum Balance | \$ \$ \$ | 25,000,000 |
| Subtotal Uses of New Capital Other than Assistance | \$ | 68,104,536 |
| ARRA Loans | | |
| - Program Loans | \$ | 69,074,368 |
| - Green Project Reserve Loans | \$ | 60,000,000 |
| ARRA Additional Subsidization | \$ \$ \$ | 140,000,000 |
| Subtotal Uses of New Capital for ARRA Assistance | \$ | 269,074,368 |
| Base Program Loans | \$ | 219,163,737 |
| Subtotal Uses of New Capital for Base Assistance | \$ \$ | 219,163,373 |
| TOTAL OF ALL USES | \$ | 556,342,641 |

DATE OF ANALYSIS: April 3, 2009

Table 2 CWSRF Five-Year Cash Flow

| (as of 4/3/2009) | SFY 2008-09 | SFY 2009-10 | SFY 2010-11 | SFY 2011-12 | SFY 2012-13 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Beginning Balance: | \$291,830,366 | \$388,859,298 | \$459,303,835 | \$638,209,332 | \$868,185,073 |
| Estimated Repayments | \$201,056,084 | \$217,216,222 | \$227,216,222 | \$237,216,222 | \$247,216,222 |
| Debt Service on Revenue Bonds | (\$31,893,104) | (\$31,758,441) | (\$31,456,429) | (\$30,228,204) | (\$27,714,204) |
| Estimated Capitalization Grants (less admin) | \$46,965,399 | \$46,080,000 | \$100,000,000 | \$32,000,000 | \$25,000,000 |
| Economic Stimulus Grant (less admin) | \$269,074,368 | | | | |
| State G.O. Bond proceeds (less state admin. match) | \$13,260,646 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Match Credits | \$13,292,655 | \$2,212,835 | \$760,015 | \$666,280 | \$749,882 |
| Est. SMIF Interest: | \$10,574,552 | \$10,000,000 | \$8,000,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| Estimated Disbursements | (\$425,301,668) | (\$173,306,079) | (\$62,614,311) | (\$15,678,557) | (\$9,499,200) |

Subtotal \$388,859,298 \$459,303,835 \$701,209,332 \$931,185,073 \$1,170,937,773

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Disadvantaged Community Subsidies and all other Type of Assistance **CWSRF Projects Estimated** Estimate Estimated Estimated Pre-NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF Estimated **Estimated Tota** Estimated Project ARRA ARRA Award Proj. No. Agency **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Funding Agreement Date* Financing Green Value Type Financing (PFC)* Start Date* Costs* CASCADE SHORES WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT \$1,668,279 9/1/08 5/1/09 \$0 \$0 212 SCG-989-030 NEVADA COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 1 Not Available 7/1/08 \$0 \$1.668.279 \$1.668.279 UPGRADE PROJECT REDUCE ELECTRO-CONDUCTIVITY LEVELS AND DESIGN A SCG-1002-030 MALAGA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Not Available 1/1/00 1/1/09 5/1/09 \$392,489 \$0 \$0 \$392,489 \$392,489 \$0 212 UV DECHLORINATION FACILITY. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF WWTP EXPANSION SCG-912-030 CITY OF SAN JOAQUIN Not Available 1/1/00 5/1/09 5/1/09 \$1.791.382 \$0 \$0 \$1,791,382 \$1,791,382 \$0 212 PROJECT SCG-1015-030 CITY OF GRIDLEY Not Available 5/1/09 \$974,850 \$0 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION 1/30/08 6/1/09 \$0 \$974.850 \$200,000 \$0 212 CITY OF WILLITS WASTEWATER TREATMENT/WATER SCG-904-030 CITY OF WILLITS Not Available 11/6/07 4/15/08 5/1/09 \$1,107,582 \$0 \$0 \$1,107,582 \$110,750 \$0 212 RECLAMATION PROJECT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE FOR 5047-110 CA0079898 3/14/08 \$1,158,296 GRASS VALLEY, CITY OF 9/1/08 5/8/09 \$2,000,000 \$0 \$3,158,296 \$1,158,296 212 SCG-905-030 **DENITRIFICA** R5-2003-0089 4593-110 5/8/09 UPGRADE TREATMENT SYSTEM KELSEYVILLE CWWD #3 Not Available 8/6/08 6/1/09 \$2,000,000 \$1,479,000 \$0 \$3,479,000 \$104.370 \$0 212 SCG-911-030 4946-110 VENTURA COUNTY WATERWORKS DIST 16 R4-2004-0032 3/10/09 4/15/09 \$8,050,000 \$6,953,495 \$0 \$500,000 \$0 PIRU WWTP CAPACITY UPGRADE PROJECT \$15,003,495 212 5/8/09 SCG-922-030 4242-110 DELANO, CITY OF WWTP EXPANSION AND WATER RECLAMATION WDR #5-01-247 9/18/08 1/15/09 5/8/09 \$2,000,000 \$37,000,000 \$0 \$39,000,000 \$9.500.000 \$0 212 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE 5179-110 SAN ANDREAS SD CA0079464 11/14/08 5/22/09 5/8/09 \$7,050,000 \$4,050,000 \$0 \$11,100,000 \$500,000 \$0 212 NORTHEAST PROJECT AREA PACKAGE 1 3/17/09 4/30/09 \$33.690.000 \$1,200,000 \$33,690,000 212 5176-110 INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY Not Available 5/8/09 \$0 \$0 \$33,690,000 ANTIOCH/DDSD RECYCLED WATER PROJECT 5177-110 DELTA DIABLO SANITATION DISTRICT 4/20/09 \$0 \$9.749.878 \$0 \$9.749.878 \$292,496 \$9.749.878 212 Not Available 7/1/09 5/8/09 WWTP PHASE IV UPGRADE AND EXPANSION 4682-110 MERCED, CITY OF Not Available 11/29/08 5/1/09 5/8/09 \$2,000,000 \$35,000,000 \$0 \$37,000,000 \$800,000 \$0 212 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION 12/5/08 4502-110 BRAWLEY, CITY OF CA0104523 6/1/09 5/8/09 \$10,000,000 \$15,000,000 \$0 \$25,000,000 \$600,000 \$0 212 POND 3 LINER, SEWER LIFT STATION UPGRADE AND I/I 4470-120 COLFAX, CITY OF Not Available 6/5/07 6/1/09 5/8/09 \$4,263,505 \$1,437,306 \$0 \$5,700,811 \$500,000 \$0 212 SCG 921-030 **ELIMINATION PROJECT** WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE AND 5098-110 LINDA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Not Available 5/27/09 7/15/09 7/10/09 \$10,000,000 \$48,000,000 \$0 \$58,000,000 \$1,740,000 \$0 212 EXPANSIO 5180-110 WWTP UPGRADE 8/20/09 CITY OF FERNDALE Not Available 7/31/09 5/1/09 \$42,998 \$8,500,000 \$0 \$8,542,998 \$256,290 \$0 212 SCG-932-011 CA0079022 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADES 5042-110 LIVE OAK, CITY OF 5/31/09 8/1/09 7/10/09 \$10,000,000 \$12,000,000 \$0 \$22,000,000 \$660,000 \$0 212 R5-2004-0096 4926-110 GARBERVILLE SANITARY DISTRICT WWTP EXPANSION Not Available 5/31/09 8/1/09 7/10/09 \$2.972.471 \$0 \$0 \$2.972.471 \$89,174 \$0 212 SCG-924-030 CITY OF RIO DELL WASTEWATER DISPOSAL AND 4922-110 RIO DELL, CITY OF Not Available 5/31/09 8/1/09 7/10/09 \$5,750,000 \$2,762,989 \$0 \$8,512,989 \$255.390 \$0 212 SCG-902-010 5156-110 MAXWELL PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT MAXWELL PUD WASTEWATER SYSTEM UPGRADE Not Available 6/1/09 12/1/09 7/10/09 \$6,500,000 \$5.254.360 \$0 \$11,754,360 \$352.631 \$0 212 SCG-903-030

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Disadvantaged Community Subsidies and all other Type of Assistance **CWSRF Projects Estimated** Estimate Estimated Estimated Pre-NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF Estimated **Estimated Total** Estimated Project ARRA ARRA Award Proj. No. Agency **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Financing Funding Agreement Date* Green Value Type Start Date* Financing (PFC)* Costs* 4049-110 WILLIAMS, CITY OF NPDES TIME SCHEDULE - UPGRADE TREATMENT PLANT Not Available 6/1/09 7/1/09 7/10/09 \$7,629,175 \$4,664,231 \$0 \$12,293,406 \$368,802 \$0 212 SCG-667-010 4973-110 6/1/09 9/1/09 7/10/09 \$9.050.000 \$8,014,000 \$511.920 \$0 212 VOODLAKE, CITY OF WOODLAKE WWTP EXPANSION AND UPGRADE Not Available \$0 \$17,064,000 SCG-821-030 5039-110 8/21/09 \$2,000,000 \$1,777,440 ORANGE COVE, CITY OF WWTP SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS Not Available 7/1/09 10/1/09 \$0 \$3,777,440 \$113,323 \$0 212 SCG-908-010 4965-110 8/7/09 8/1/09 9/11/09 \$506,776 \$0 \$0 212 AMADOR WATER AGENCY GAYLA MANOR WWTP LEACHFIELD EXPANSION Not Available \$0 \$506,776 \$15.203 SCG-906-010 5157-110 BEAUMONT-CHERRY VALLEY WD RECYCLED WATER FACILITIES Not Available 6/1/09 8/1/09 7/10/09 \$2,000,000 \$15,500,000 \$0 \$17,500,000 \$525,000 \$17,500,000 212 KERMAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLAN R5-2007-0115 6/1/09 10/1/09 \$2,000,000 \$6,250,000 5150-110 KERMAN, CITY OF 7/10/09 \$0 \$8,250,000 \$247.500 \$0 212 CHICO WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT EXPANSION CA0079081 7/17/09 4997-120 CHICO, CITY OF 6/15/09 8/15/09 \$2,000,000 \$3,600,000 \$0 \$5,600,000 \$168,000 \$0 212 R5-2004-0073 CLEAR CREEK WWTP EXPANSION & UPGRADE BID CA0079731 4971-230 REDDING, CITY OF 7/15/09 6/1/08 9/4/09 \$2,000,000 \$6,389,000 \$0 \$8,389,000 \$251,670 \$0 212 PACKAGE 4 R5-2003-0130 8/1/09 1/1/10 9/11/09 \$2,000,000 \$30,600,000 5061-110 BANNING, CITY OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT EXP & WATER RECYC Not Available \$0 \$32,600,000 \$978,000 212 4930-110 TULELAKE WASTEWATER PROJECT 8/30/09 \$2,589,413 \$0 CITY OF TULELAKE Not Available 1/1/10 5/1/09 \$0 \$2,589,413 \$77,682 \$0 212 SCG-939-010 CA0053813 JWPCP - DEWATERING FACILITY MODS 4001-480 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 3/19/04 9/1/09 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$13,117,000 \$13,117,000 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2006-0042 INFLOW/INFILTRATION CORRECTION PHASE IV 4729-140 LA MESA, CITY OF Not Available 11/29/05 2/15/09 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$2,958,655 \$2,958,655 \$0 \$0 212 WHITTIER NARROWS WRP SECONDARY TREATMENT CA0053716 4701-420 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 9/6/06 5/1/09 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$10,350,000 \$10,350,000 \$0 \$0 212 FACILITY R4-2002-0142 MARKLEY CREEK PROJECT 5172-110 ANTIOCH, CITY OF Not Available 11/18/08 7/3/08 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$2.500.000 \$2,500,000 \$0 \$0 212 REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLANT UV PALO ALTO, CITY OF CA0037834 1/7/09 4/30/09 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$10,841,443 \$10,841,443 \$0 \$0 212 5044-110 DISINFECTION WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT & COLLECTION 1/20/09 9/1/09 5/22/09 \$0 \$0 \$30,000,000 \$0 212 5017-110 MILLBRAE, CITY OF Not Available \$30,000,000 \$0 5046-110 IRONHOUSE SANITARY DISTRICT IRONHOUSE WWTP EXPANSION AND UPGRADE CA0085260 2/2/09 5/1/09 5/22/09 \$0 \$0 \$62,400,000 \$62,400,000 \$0 \$0 212 5020-110 EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT RARE WATER PROJECT MF/RO UPGRADE Not Available 3/17/09 7/14/08 6/12/09 \$0 \$0 \$35,226,616 \$35,226,616 \$0 \$35,226,616 212 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT PALMDALE WRP - STAGE V - TRANSMISSION PIPELINE 4/21/09 2/28/08 \$15,000,000 \$15,000,000 212 4746-130 Not Available 6/26/09 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT PALMDALE WRP - STAGE V STORAGE RESERVOIRS 4/21/09 7/30/08 4746-120 Not Available 6/26/09 \$0 \$0 \$18,000,000 \$18,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT PALMDALE WRP - STAGE V EXPANSION 4/21/09 4/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$135.000.000 \$0 \$0 212 4746-110 Not Available 6/26/09 \$135,000,000

27

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Disadvantaged Community Subsidies and all other Type of Assistance **CWSRF Projects Estimated** Estimate Estimated Estimated Pre-NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF Estimated **Estimated Total** Estimated Project ARRA Proj. No. Agency Project Name Commitment Date Construction ARRA Award #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Agreement Date* Financing **Funding** Green Value Type Financing (PFC)* Start Date* Costs* 4746-140 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT PALMDALE WRP - STAGE V - PUMP STATIONS Not Available 4/21/09 3/31/08 6/26/09 \$0 \$11,400,000 \$11,400,000 \$0 \$0 212 MORENO VALLEY REGION WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT 5/1/09 8/1/09 \$56,093,724 \$56,093,724 \$0 212 5100-110 Not Available 7/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$0 SECONDARY CLARIFIERS AND TERTIARY TREATMENT SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER 7/17/09 212 5115-110 Not Available 2/20/09 1/23/10 \$0 \$0 \$11,060,000 \$11,060,000 \$0 \$11,060,000 PHASE 2B. SUBPHASE 1 UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT Not Available 2/20/09 1/23/10 7/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$3.800.000 212 5115-120 \$3.800.000 \$3.800.000 DISTRICT PHASE 2B. SUBPHASE 2 5332-110 INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY VICTORIA AND SAN SEVAINE WELLS AND LYSIMETERS ENO Not Available 4/24/09 5/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$1,800,000 \$1,800,000 \$0 \$0 212 7/17/09 MORENO VALLEY REGION WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY \$0 5311-110 EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Not Availabl 5/15/09 6/1/09 7/24/09 \$54,000,000 \$54.000.000 \$0 \$0 212 SAN JACINTO VALLEY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION 7/24/09 5159-110 EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Not Available 5/15/09 8/3/09 \$0 \$0 \$240,000,000 \$240,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 FACILITY 4655-150 PIEDMONT, CITY OF I/I CORRECTION Not Available 5/15/09 6/15/09 7/24/09 \$0 \$4,733,080 \$4,733,080 \$0 \$0 212 5/29/09 9/15/09 7/24/09 \$0 4395-110 CITY OF SAN DIEGO GRIT PROCESSING IMPROOVEMENTS CA0107409 \$0 \$46,500,000 \$46,500,000 \$0 \$0 212 NON-POTABLE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PROJECT 5/29/09 4188-110 YUCAIPA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT CA0105619 9/30/09 7/24/09 \$0 \$0 \$5,030,450 \$5,030,450 \$0 \$5,030,450 212 UPGRADE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY 4688-110 OAKDALE, CITY OF Not Available 5/29/09 10/30/09 7/24/09 \$0 \$0 \$12,000,000 \$12,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 4655-140 PIEDMONT, CITY OF I/I CORRECTION Not Available 6/1/09 7/1/09 8/7/09 \$0 \$0 \$3,520,000 \$3,520,000 \$0 \$0 212 WWTP REHAB WD UPGRADE 5139-110 HUGHSON, CITY OF R5-2000-024 6/1/09 7/1/09 8/7/09 \$0 \$0 \$23,100,000 \$23,100,000 \$0 \$0 212 CA0053813 JWPCP-SKIMMINGS ODOR CONTROL FACILILTY 4001-560 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 6/15/09 1/17/06 8/7/09 \$0 \$0 \$36,640,000 \$36,640,000 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2006-0042 JWPCP-POWER GENERATOR FACILITY STEAM CYCLE CA0053813 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 6/15/09 9/1/09 8/7/09 \$0 \$0 \$20,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 4001-640 \$20,000,000 MODS PHASE II R4-2006-0042 ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT PLANT (RECLAMATION) 6/30/09 12/31/09 8/14/09 \$0 \$0 \$0 212 4167-110 SOUTH ORANGE COUNTY WW AUTH. Not Available \$15,000,000 \$15,000,000 \$0 CALERA CREEK WATER RECYCLING DISTRIBUTION 7/1/09 4998-110 NORTH COAST CWD Not Available 10/30/09 8/14/09 \$0 \$0 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$0 \$4,100,000 212 JWPCP-POWER GENERATOR FACILITY STEAM CYCLE CA0053813 4001-630 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 7/14/09 8/1/09 8/21/09 \$0 \$0 \$10,000,000 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 MODS PHASE I R4-2006-0042 CLEAR CREEK WWTP EXPANSION & UPGRADE BID CA0079731 REDDING, CITY OF 4971-240 7/15/09 7/1/09 8/28/09 \$0 \$0 \$11,517,154 \$11,517,154 \$0 \$0 212 PACKAGE 5 R5-2003-0130 CLEAR CREEK WWTP EXPANSION & UPGRADE BID CA0079731 REDDING, CITY OF 7/15/09 4971-250 6/1/08 8/28/09 \$0 \$0 \$1,216,179 \$1,216,179 \$0 \$0 212 PACKAGE 6 R5-2003-0130 GALT, CITY OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADES Not Available 7/15/09 9/1/09 \$0 \$0 \$36,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 5043-110 8/28/09 \$36,000,000

28

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Disadvantaged Community Subsidies and all other Type of Assistance **CWSRF Projects Estimated** Estimate Estimated Estimated Pre-NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF Estimated **Estimated Total** Estimated Project ARRA ARRA Award Proj. No. Agency Project Name Commitment Date Construction #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Funding Agreement Date* Financing Green Value Type Start Date* Financing (PFC)* Costs* LOS OSOS WASTEWATER PROJECT - COLLECTION 5230-110 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Not Available 8/1/09 11/1/09 9/11/09 \$0 \$80,000,000 \$80,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 EMERGENCY WASTEWATER RETENTION BASIN Not Available 8/15/09 12/1/09 9/25/09 \$0 \$5,200,000 \$0 \$0 212 5152-110 BURLINGAME, CITY OF \$0 \$5,200,000 SAN CLEMENTE, CITY OF 10/9/09 \$13,778,700 212 4516-110 RECLAIMED WATER SYSTEM EXPANSION (RECLAMATION) Not Available 8/31/09 2/1/10 \$0 \$0 \$13,778,700 \$13,778,700 \$0 SOUTH COUNTY REGIONAL WASTEWATER 5233-110 6/1/10 10/9/09 \$0 \$0 \$0 212 SCRWA WATEWATER TREATMENT CAPACITY EXPANSION Not Available 8/31/09 \$100,000,000 \$100,000,000 AUTHORITY 5151-110 ROSS VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT KENTFIELD FORCE MAIN REPLACEMENT Not Available 9/1/09 11/1/09 10/16/09 \$0 \$0 \$7,163,000 \$7,163,000 \$0 \$0 212 LOS OSOS WASTEWATER PROJECT - WASTEWATER Not Available 9/1/09 \$0 \$30,000,000 5230-120 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY 12/1/09 10/16/09 \$30,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 TREATMENT FACILITY 10/16/09 5230-130 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY LOS OSOS WASTEWATER PROJECT - DISPOSAL FACILITY Not Available 9/1/09 12/1/09 \$0 \$0 \$47,000,000 \$47,000,000 \$0 \$0 212 8/20/04 4793-130 PISMO BEACH, CITY OF PISMO OAKS LIFT STATION Not Available 9/1/07 4/7/09 \$0 \$600,000 \$600,000 \$0 \$0 212 CA0054097 12/20/07 10/2/08 1/7/09 \$0 4680-110 VENTURA, COUNTY OF EL RIO SEWER PROJECT, PHASE 5A \$0 \$8,600,000 \$8,600,000 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2004-003 OXIDATION DITCH UPGRADE 6/19/08 \$0 5055-110 PATTERSON, CITY OF Not Available 2/23/09 1/28/09 \$0 \$1,069,602 \$1,069,602 \$0 \$0 212 LANDSCAPE AND AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION 4148-110 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT Not Available 12/5/08 7/1/09 1/15/09 \$0 \$0 \$8.300.000 \$8,300,000 \$0 \$0 212 (RECLAMATION) CA0053716 WHITTIER NARROWS WRP - NDN EQUIPMENT PURCHASE 4701-410 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 9/6/06 11/1/07 10/20/08 \$0 \$0 \$4,931,545 \$4,931,545 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2002-0142 LANCASTER WRP - STAGE V PHASE I EXPANSION 4916-110 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT Not Available 5/22/07 2/20/08 2/9/09 \$0 \$0 \$142,090,957 \$142.090.957 \$0 \$0 212 EAST SPRING STREET/MONTECITO BLVD. SEWER 5114-120 NAPA SANITATION DISTRICT CA0037575 1/14/08 12/2/08 1/29/09 \$0 \$0 \$219,444 \$219,444 \$0 \$0 212 WDID#6B3601070 4352-110 LAKE ARROWHEAD COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST RECYCLED WATER PHASE 1 PROJECT 4/7/08 6/1/09 11/2/08 \$0 \$0 \$7,415,249 \$7,415,249 \$0 \$0 212 01 JWPCP - POWER GEN FACILITY HEAT RECOVERY STEAM CA0053813 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 9/15/08 1/7/05 12/19/08 \$0 \$0 \$0 212 4001-600 \$3,983,711 \$3,983,711 \$0 R4-2006-0042 JWPCP - POWER GEN FACILITY STEAM TURBINE GEN CA0053813 4001-610 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 9/15/08 12/27/05 11/5/08 \$0 \$0 \$2,978,767 \$2,978,767 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2006-0042 CA0053813 4001-540 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT JWPCP-BIOSOLIDS ODOR CONTROL FACILITY, PHASE 1 9/20/08 12/10/02 11/5/08 \$0 \$0 \$5,330,364 \$5,330,364 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2006-0042 JWPCP-BIOSOLIDS STORAGE SILO ODOR CONTROL CA0053813 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT 9/20/08 2/6/04 1/23/09 \$2.947.840 4001-550 \$0 \$0 \$2.947.840 \$0 \$0 212 R4-2006-0042

SRF Totals : \$110,338,920 : \$298,829,995 : \$1,414,513,480: \$1,823,682,395 : \$25,928,648 : \$133,935,644

4/7/2009

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009 29

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Restarts - Projects that lost previous State Water Board Type of Assistance funding Estimated Estimated Estimated Pre NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF **Estimated Tota** Estimated Estimated Project Proj. No. **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction ARRA ARRA Award Agency ARRA Subsidy #/WDR Agreement Date* Financing Funding Green Value Type Start Date* Financing Costs** 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$126,418 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 04-151-552-0 California Sportfishing Protection Alliance Suisun Creek Watershed Program Not Available \$126,418 06-314-550-0 Los Angeles County Department of Beaches and Harbors Topanga Beach Septic System Replacement Project CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$106,800 \$0 \$0 \$106,800 \$10,000 \$0 212 Los Angeles County Department of Beaches and Harbors Malibu Surfrider Beach Septic System Replacement Project CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$106,800 \$0 \$0 \$106,800 \$8,000 \$0 212 06-136-559-1 Los Penasquitos Lagoon Foundation Los Penasquitos Sediment Basin Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$991,416 \$0 \$0 319 06-343-552-0 Marin Conservation Corps Olema Creek Sediment Reduction Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$563.976 \$0 \$0 \$563.976 \$0 \$0 319 Arundo control San Luis Rey: Water Conservation, Pollution \$371,736 06-293-559-0 Mission Resource Conservation District Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$371,736 \$0 \$0 319 Reduction, and Habitat Restoration Creating Tools for Numeric Criteria and Total Maximum Daily 5/17/09 06-337-559-0 Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$617,948 \$0 \$0 \$617,948 \$0 \$0 319 Load Development in San Diego Coastal Lagoons 06-157-556 Tahoe Resource Conservation District Lake Tahoe Watershed Improvement Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,572,138 \$0 \$0 \$1,572,138 \$0 \$1,572,138 319 La Jolla Shores Area of Biological Significance (ASBS) Dry 06-072-559-0 The Regents of the University of California Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$2,506,631 \$0 \$0 \$2.506.631 \$0 \$2.506.631 319 Weather Flow and Pollution Control Program Implementation of TMDL Agricultural Operator Voluntary 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$111,391 \$0 \$0 06-280-558-1 Western Riverside County Agriculture Coalition Not Available \$0 \$111,391 \$0 319 Program with 2 BMPs implemented Napa River Sediment Reduction And Habitat Enhancement Plan 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 06-167-552-1 California Land Stewardship Institute Not Available \$500,000 \$0 \$500,000 \$500,000 319 Demonstrating Compatibility of Water Quality and Food Safety 06-274-553-0 Central Coast Agricultural Water Quality Coaltiion Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$566,891 \$0 \$0 \$566,891 \$0 \$0 319 through Research and Implementation 06-312-550-0 Port of San Francisco Pier 45 Drainage Improvement/Herring Water Discharge CAS000004 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,615,428 \$0 \$0 \$1,615,428 \$0 \$0 212 rrigated Agriculture Best Management Practices (BMPs) 05-185-553-0 Cachuma Resource Conservation District Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$149,274 \$0 \$0 \$149,274 \$0 \$0 319 Implementation 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 04-319-550-1 California Certified Organic Farmers Foundation Going Organic Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$170,010 \$0 \$170,010 \$0 319 Management Practices to Improve Water Quality in the Pajaro, 5/17/09 05-105-553-0 Central Coast Water Quality Preservation, Inc. Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$99.913 \$0 \$0 \$99.913 \$0 \$0 319 Salinas and Elkhorn Watersheds 07-587-550-0 City of Hermosa Beach Hermosa Strand Infiltration Trench CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1.635.344 \$0 \$0 \$1.635.344 \$0 \$0 212 06-224-554-1 City of Long Beach Los Angeles River Trash Nets CAS004003 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$451,908 \$0 \$0 \$451.908 \$4.000 \$0 212 04-423-554-2 City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works Mar Vista Recreation Center Retrofit CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,700,000 \$0 \$0 \$1,700,000 \$0 212 \$7,000 Ojai Wetland Restoration, Stormwater Capture and Groundwater 06-218-554-0 City of Ojai CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$231,296 \$0 \$0 \$231,296 \$0 \$231,296 212 Recharge Project 04-112-559-2 City of Santee orester Creek Improvements CAS0108758 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$300,000 \$0 \$0 \$300,000 \$0 \$300,000 212

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Restarts - Projects that lost previous State Water Board Type of Assistance funding Estimated Estimated Estimated Pre NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated Estimated SRF **Estimated Tota** Project Estimated Proj. No. **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction ARRA ARRA Award Agency #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Green Value Agreement Date* Financing Funding Type Start Date* Financing Costs** Reducing Nonpoint Source (NPS) Sediment and Pesticide 5/17/09 \$428,374 06-226-553-0 County of Santa Cruz, Dept or Public Works CAS000004 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$428.374 \$0 \$0 212 Pollution in County Road Maintenance Operations 06-276-554-1 Friends of the Santa Clara River Hedrick Ranch Nature Area Wetland & Riparian Restoration Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$201,229 \$0 \$0 \$201,229 \$0 \$201,229 319 06-292-551 Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District Estero Americano Sediment Reduction Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$330,549 \$0 \$0 \$330,549 \$0 \$330,549 319 Estero Americano Rangeland Water Quality Enhancement 06-291-551 Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$628,847 \$0 \$0 \$628,847 \$0 \$628,847 319 Project 06-114-551-2 Gold Ridge Resource Conservation District Estero Americano Dairy Enhancement Program Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$569,870 \$0 \$0 \$569,870 \$0 \$0 319 Not Available 5/17/09 \$98,040 \$0 07-571-550-0 Heal the Ocean Shallow Ocean Wastewater Outfall Source Tracking Project 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$98,040 \$0 \$0 319 06-141-551-1 Mattole Restoration Council 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1.533.799 \$0 \$0 \$1.533.799 \$0 \$1.533.799 319 Mattole River Watersehd Management Initiative Not Available 06-281-558-0 Orange County Nurseries Water Quality Improvement Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$104,986 \$0 \$0 \$104.986 \$0 \$0 319 Orange County Coastkeeper 06-174-555-1 Upper Middle Fork Feather River Complex 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$138,577 \$0 \$0 \$138,577 \$0 \$138,577 319 Plumas Corporation Not Available Permit Coordination & Agricultural Water Quality Implementation 06-273-553-0 5/17/09 Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$428,252 \$0 \$0 \$428,252 \$0 \$0 319 Program in the Pajaro Watershed 06-299-550-0 Santa Cruz County Sanitation District Aptos Esplanade CAS000004 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$487,840 \$0 \$0 \$487,840 \$0 \$0 212 Shasta River Tailwater Reduction: Demonstration and Shasta Valley RCD Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$527,943 \$0 \$527,943 \$0 \$0 319 06-271-551-1 \$0 Implementation Project Evaluating Land Use Practices in Sierra Nevada Watersheds 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 06-156-556 \$132,911 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 Sierra Nevada Alliance Not Available \$132,911 and Their Impact on Water Quality Polaris Creek/Wetland/SEZ Restoration for Tahoe TMDL. BMP 06-132-556-0 Tahoe Resource Conservation District Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$383,491 \$0 \$0 \$383,491 \$0 \$383,491 319 Efficiency, Habitat Enhancement and Outreach 06-155-556-1 Truckee River Watershed Council Perazzo Meadows Restoration 5/17/09 \$800,000 \$0 \$0 \$800,000 \$0 \$800,000 319 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 Evaluating Best Management Practices (BMPs) Effectiveness to 04-231-550-1 University of California, Davis Reduce Volumes of Runoff and Improve the Quality of Runoff Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,215,290 \$0 \$0 \$1,215,290 \$0 \$0 319 from Urban Environments Big Chico Creek and Lindo Channel Floodplain, Wetland and 5/17/09 \$0 06-173-555-01 City of Chico Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$523,514 \$0 \$523,514 \$0 \$523.514 319 Riparian Restoration Ventura County Watershed Protection District Matiliia Dam Ecosystem Restoration Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,147,449 \$0 \$0 \$1,147,449 \$1,147,449 319 06-207-554-0 06-180-558-0 Western Municipal Water District Chino II Desalter Ultimate Expansion Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$4,486,284 \$0 \$0 \$4,486,284 \$0 \$0 319 07-579-550-0 City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works Santa Monica Bay Low Flow Diversions Upgrades CA004001 \$5,000,000 \$0 \$3.000 212 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$5,000,000 \$0

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Restarts - Projects that lost previous State Water Board Type of Assistance funding Estimated Estimated Estimated Pre NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF **Estimated Tota** Project Estimated Estimated Proj. No. **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction ARRA ARRA Award Agency #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Agreement Date* Financing Funding Green Value Type Start Date* Financing Costs** \$4,000,000 05-728-550-0 Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District Wildomar Recycled Water System (Phase 1 & 1A) CA8000027 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$4.000.000 \$5.000 \$4.000.000 212 Reducing unexplained toxicity to protect sediment quality 06-278-555-0 Regents of the University of California Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$301,484 \$0 \$0 \$301,484 \$0 \$0 319 associated with irrigated agriculture Transport processes of pyrethroid insecticides in streams and 06-152-555-0 University of California, Davis Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$251,630 \$0 \$0 \$251,630 \$0 \$0 319 rivers of the San Joaquin Basin 06-146-555-0 University of California Davis Community Alliance with Family Farmers Foundation Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$534,244 \$0 \$0 \$534,244 \$0 \$0 319 Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife and Wetlands Restoration 06-288-551-0 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,417,318 \$0 \$0 \$1,417,318 \$0 \$0 319 Redwood Creek Erosion Control Association 06-289-551-0 07 Humboldt Bay Sediment Reduction & Salmon Habitat Not Available 5/17/09 \$1,172,816 \$0 Redwood Community Action Agency 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$1,172,816 \$0 \$1,172,816 319 508-551-0 Improvement Project Mapping Wetland and Riparian Habitats in Southern California 06-339-558 Southern California Coastal Water Research Project 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$502,143 \$0 \$0 \$502,143 \$0 \$0 319 Not Available Coastal Watersheds 06-161-553-1 Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$2,534,073 \$0 \$0 \$2.534.073 \$0 \$2.534.073 319 Integrated Watershed Restoration Program Phase II Improving Water Quality in Coastal San Mateo County 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$225,181 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 04-305-552-0 San Mateo County Resource Conservation District Not Available \$225,181 \$0 Paradise Cove Storm Water Treatment System 5/17/09 06-298-550-0 City of Malibu CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$808.556 \$0 \$0 \$808,556 \$5,000 \$0 212 06-105-550-2 City of Seaside Bay Street Outfall Dry Weather Diversion Project CAS000004 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$454,172 \$0 \$0 \$454,172 \$6,000 \$0 212 Humboldt Agricultural Enhancement Program Phase 4 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$729,100 \$0 \$729,100 \$0 319 06-116-551-2 Humboldt County Resource Conservation District \$0 \$0 North Coast Stormwater Coalition's Nonpoint Source Pollution 5/17/09 5/17/09 06-074-551-01 5/17/09 \$137,532 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$137,532 319 Redwood Community Action Agency Not Available \$137,532 Prevention Program Aptos Beach at Valencia Creek CAS000004 \$0 07-586-550 Santa Cruz County Sanitation District 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$168,750 \$0 \$168,750 \$0 \$0 212 06-342-552-0 Association of Bay Area Governments Taking Action for Clean Water Bay Area TMDL Implementation Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$2.080.483 \$0 \$0 \$2.080.483 \$0 \$0 319 06-266-550-0 City of Long Beach Colorado Lagoon Beaches Restoration CAS004003 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$3.534.013 \$0 \$0 \$3.534.013 \$10,000 \$0 212 Conserving Our Watersheds Ranch Water Quality Improvement 5/17/09 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$689.546 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 06-348-552 Marin Resource Conservation District \$689.546 Tomki Road Feasibility Study and Prototype Vented Low Water \$0 \$0 319 06-145-551-01 Mendocino County Department of Transportation Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$199,091 \$0 \$199.091 \$0 Integrated Ecosystem Improvements for Shasta County 04-162-555-2 Western Shasta Resource Conservation District \$0 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$98.484 \$0 \$98.484 \$0 \$0 319 Watersheds 06-282-552-0 Napa River - Rutherford Reach Restoration 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 County of Napa Not Available \$981,037 \$0 \$981,037 \$8,000 \$981,037 319 06-217-558-1 Inland Empire Utilities Agency Magnolia Channel Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$772,655 \$0 \$0 \$772,655 \$3,000 \$772,655 319

^{*} Dates in bold are actual

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

For SFY 2008/2009 and ARRA Grant Restarts - Projects that lost previous State Water Board Type of Assistance funding Estimated Estimated Estimated Pre NPDES PERMIT Estimated Estimated SRF **Estimated Tota** Project Estimated Estimated Proj. No. **Project Name** Commitment Date Construction ARRA ARRA Award Agency #/WDR ARRA Subsidy Green Value Agreement Date* Financing Funding Type Start Date* Financing Costs** \$202,000 04-412-554-1 City of Redondo Beach Sapphire Storm Drain Low Flow Diversion CAS004001 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$0 \$202,000 \$2,000 \$0 212 04-079-558-1 City of Newport Beach Big Canyon Creek Restoration Project CAS618030 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$57.010 \$0 \$0 \$57.010 \$0 \$57.010 212 06-354-550-0 Santa Cruz County Sanitation District New Brighton/Seacliff Beach Sewer line Replacement CAS000004 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,725,699 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,699 \$0 \$0 212 07-558-550-0 Carpinteria Sanitary District Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$2,156,750 \$0 \$0 \$2,156,750 \$0 \$0 319 South Coast Beach Communities Septic to Sewer Project East Garden Grove Wintersburg Channel Urban Runoff CAS618030 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,713,155 \$0 \$0 \$1,713,155 \$6,000 \$0 212 04-194-558-2 City of Huntington Beach Diversion Project - Phase I CAS004003 5/17/09 \$583,919 \$0 06-225-554-1 City of Long Beach Los Angeles River VSS Unit 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$0 \$583,919 \$3,000 \$0 212 Los Angeles River Trash Separation Device - SD13 CAS004003 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$545.937 \$0 \$0 \$545.937 \$4.000 \$0 212 06-231-544-0 City of Long Beach Fish Friendly Farming Program Agricultural Clean Water 06-286-551-1 Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$432,157 \$0 \$0 \$432,157 \$0 \$0 319 California Land Stewardship Institute Implementation 06-275-553-0 Research and Implementation of BMP's To Protect Water Quality Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$216,983 \$0 \$0 \$216,983 \$0 \$0 319 Central Coast Vineyard Team Loma Alta Creek Ultraviolet Light Treatment Facility 5/17/09 06-315-550-1 City of Oceanside CAS0108758 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$891,090 \$0 \$0 \$891,090 \$1,000 \$0 212 06-230-558-1 nland Empire Utilities Agency Greater Prado Basin Clean-Up & Restoration Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$366,880 \$0 \$0 \$366,880 \$1,500 \$0 319 04-084-558-2 Chino Creek Master Plan & Surface Wetlands 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$2,707,580 \$0 \$2,707,580 \$0 Inland Empire Utilities Agency Not Available \$0 \$4,000 319 Assessment of State-funded Wetland Restoration Projects in 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 06-353-553-0 \$271,943 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 San Jose State University Research Foundation Not Available \$271,943 Region 3 \$0 06-178-558-0 Middle Santa Ana River Pathogen TMDL-BMP Implementation Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$60,664 \$0 \$0 \$0 319 Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority \$60,664 Implementation Effectiveness Assessment for the Morro Bay 06-350-553-0 The Bay Foundation of Morro Bay Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$284.589 \$0 \$0 \$284.589 \$0 \$0 319 Watershed 07-580-550-0 Trinidad Rancheria Trinidad Harbor and Beach Project Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$1,469,864 \$0 \$0 \$1,469,864 \$0 \$0 319 Santa Rosa Creek- B Street Outfall retrofit project 5/17/09 06-221-551-1 City of Santa Rosa, CA CA0025054 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$363,404 \$0 \$0 \$363,404 \$0 \$0 212 \$0 \$771.901 06-344-552-0 Tomales Bay Watershed Council Foundation Tomales Bay Wetlands Restoration and Monitoring Program Not Available 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09 \$771,901 \$0 \$771,901 \$0 319 Dry Weather Diversion At San Lorenzo River Pump Stations #1b City of Santa Cruz CAS000004 \$512.553 \$0 \$0 \$512.553 \$0 \$0 212 02-241-550-0 5/17/09 5/17/09 5/17/09

33

| * | Dates | in | hold | are | actual | |
|---|-------|----|------|-----|--------|--|

^{**} For costs incurred between 10/1/2008 and 4/30/2009

 Stopped Grant Project Totals
 \$70,588,951
 \$0
 \$0
 \$70,588,951
 \$90,500
 \$21,224,544

4/7/2009

^{***} For Costs incurred between 12/17/2009 and 4/30/2009

SFY 2008/2009 + ARRA

Attachment 4 Summary of Issues Discussed with Regional Water Boards in May 2008

Summary

The Division of Financial Assistance (DFA) Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Program is in the process of exploring avenues to help meet the financial needs of communities and support the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Water Boards) efforts to protect and improve California's Water Quality.

The information in the next few pages summarizes the suggestions and questions DFA received during its meetings with the Regional Water Boards in May 2008.

In-Depth Explanation of Topics at Future Workshops

- CWSRF Program overview
- Types of projects funded by CWSRF
- Funding process
 - o Time lines
 - Eligibility of Municipal Separate Storm Sewer (MS4) type projects
- Intended Use Plan (IUP)
- Project Priority List (PPL)
 - Guidelines/Procedures on "How To" put together and submit a PPL to the State Water Board and what the project criteria would be.
 - Prioritized list of projects at the Regional Water Boards that may be adapted to CWSRF needs.
- Financial structure
- CWSRF on-line application process
 - How to help potential applicants figure out a repayment source.
- · Eligibility of stormwater management program activities

Marketing

- Continue to provide outreach and brochure material
 - Add pictures of funded Nonpoint Source (NPS), Agriculture and Storm Water projects to the CWSRF brochure.
 - Present the CWSRF Program to associations, roundtables, public forums and workshops in an effort to get the information to the end users.
 - Hold CWSRF Program presentation meetings after Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) workshops.
 - Advertise in technical magazines.
 - Make a short 10-15 minute DVD that explains the Program and explains application and funding steps.
 - Create a template letter that can be sent out to communities asking for input on who might benefit from the CWSRF Program.
 - o Inform discharger, end users, etc. about the CWSRF loan Program if they do not qualify for a grant.

- Focus future meetings on specific programs. Regional Water Boards are set up in program units such as Storm Water, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES), Landfill, Nonchapter 15, and Basin Planning. etc. It would make it easier for staff assigned to these units to understand and relate what projects might be eligible for funding by CWSRF.
- Better communicate between the Water Boards.

<u>Funding</u>

- For non-traditional type projects (e.g. NPS), be more specific about how these borrowers are able to come up with a repayment stream that meets the State Water Boards' credit standards.
- For communities that are economically disadvantaged, carve out a small pool of funds that can be used to subsidize repayment.
- Encourage use of CWSRF loans for defensible space in the Regional area.

Web Site

- Add a link to the Regional Water Boards' public web site that directs users to the CWSRF web site.
- Create a better link between the grant and loan programs.

Identified Potential Priorities

- Agricultural discharges
- Anti-degradation
- Bacteria in the beaches
- BMP implementation
- Closed/inactive landfills
- Collection System/Septic tank issues
- Infrastructure replacement/rehabilitation
- Nonpoint source control
- Public wastewater treatment facilities
- Restoration projects
- Selenium in groundwater
- Septic to sewer projects
- Stormwater projects
- Wastewater treatment

Questions Submitted

- What are the different propositions and funding sources?
 <u>Response</u>: The best source of information is on the DFA web site.
 <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/grants_loans/#funding_programs</u>.
- Is there a road map for all the different funding sources?
 <u>Response</u>: The best source of information is on the DFA web site.
 <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/grants_loans/#funding_programs</u>.

CALIFORNIA CWSRF AMENDED INTENDED USE PLAN SFY 2008/2009 + ARRA

- Can the CWSRF be used for dairies to install monitoring wells or install lined wastewater lagoons under the "agricultural" projects?
 Response: Yes, provided the project is not a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO).
- How is a project removed from the priority list?
 <u>Response</u>: The applicant should write a letter requesting removal from the priority list. Projects are removed if there is no activity after five years from date of being added to the priority list. For more information contact Kyle Wooldridge at the State Water Board.
- Are 'ready to proceed' projects in FAAST?
 <u>Response</u>: Not at this time. For more information contact Kyle Wooldridge at the State Water Board.
- Can entities get a CWSRF loan to match Proposition 84 grants or other state and federal grants?
 - <u>Response</u>: Yes, if allowed by guidelines of Prop. 84 or the other programs. The project has to be eligible under CWSRF.